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Examining the relationships between attendance, online engagement and summative examination performance.

Jane Holland*, Eric Clarke*, Morag Monro ‡, Evelyn Kelleher ‡, Mark Glynn ‡
*RCSI Dublin, ‡ DCU Dublin

Background:
Non-attendance at lectures and tutorials is recognised as having a detrimental effect on subsequent examination performance in undergraduate students. There can be many reasons for non-attendance and care must be taken to discover the root cause in individuals, as a simplistic approach of making attendance mandatory without additional student supports being provided may be ineffectual. Monitoring of attendance may be problematic; traditional manual methods are time-consuming, particularly with increasing student numbers, and recording errors are difficult to entirely eliminate. Automated capturing of online activity may be a more time-efficient manner of identifying struggling students at an early stage, allowing specific interventions and supports to be put in place as appropriate.

Aims:
- To determine whether online indices of engagement and/or physical attendance records correlate with summative examination performance.
- To identify predictors of performance to allow early intervention with at-risk students in the future

Summary of work:
This research is part of a prospective study examining physical attendance, online activity reports (Moodle), continuous assessments and summative examination performance. Ethical approval was granted by RCSI Ethics Committee. Two consecutive modules within the first year of the undergraduate medical program were identified for inclusion: Neuromuscular (NM) and Alimentary System (AS). The following data were collected:
- Physical attendance
  - Small group teaching sessions (8 NM & 12 AS).
- Online activity
  - Lecture notes viewed within 28 days of lecture (25 NM & 28 AS)
- Continuous assessment performance
  - Formative vivas & written MCQ quizzes
- Summative examination performance
  - NB – corrected for continuous assessment component
All data were anonymised, and then analysed with IBM® SPSS® Statistics 20.
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Results:
A single cohort of 365 students undertook both the NM and AS modules, 30 of whom were repeating. Comparison of medians showed significant reductions in all parameters within the repeat student group (p< 0.005 for all, Mann-Whitney U; Table1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Physical attendance</th>
<th>Online activity</th>
<th>Continuous assessment</th>
<th>Summative examination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NM 1st - time</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>63.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>53.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1st - time</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>66.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>54.4 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Descriptive statistics
Initial diagnostics were then performed, confirming basic assumptions prior to regression analysis; all parameters were significantly correlated with summative performance, and formal tests for tolerance and variance inflation factor (VIF) showed no evidence of multicollinearity.

In NM, analysis showed the largest effect size on summative examinations for both first-time and repeat student groups (R² = 0.545; R² = 0.289). Among repeat students, online access of lecture had a larger effect size than physical attendance at small group tutorials, while both these indices were less contributory (R² < 0.1) for first-time students (Table 2).

Within AS, continuous assessment showed the largest effect size for first-time students (R² = 0.585), while online access of lecture notes was most contributory among repeat students (R² = 0.35; Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Physical attendance</th>
<th>Online activity</th>
<th>Continuous assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NM 1st - time</td>
<td>R² &lt; 0.1</td>
<td>R² &lt; 0.1</td>
<td>R² = 0.545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat</td>
<td>R² &lt; 0.1</td>
<td>R² = 0.16</td>
<td>R² = 0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS 1st - time</td>
<td>R² = 0.11</td>
<td>R² &lt; 0.1</td>
<td>R² = 0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat</td>
<td>R² = 0.23</td>
<td>R² = 0.35</td>
<td>R² = 0.289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Predictors of summative examination performance
Discussion:
Effect sizes are most notable for continuous assessment, but online activity correlates with summative performance and is more predictive for outcomes among repeat students than physical attendance. These indices may be useful to screen at-risk students for individual intervention and support.