

1-1-2012

Why the HADS is still important: Reply to Coyne & van Sonderen

Frank Doyle

Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, fdoyle4@rcsi.ie

Theodore D. Cosco

Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland

Ronán Conroy

Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland

Citation

Doyle F, Cosco T, Conroy R. Why the HADS is still important: Reply to Coyne & van Sonderen. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 2012 Jul;73(1):74.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Psychology at e-publications@RCSI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Psychology Articles by an authorized administrator of e-publications@RCSI. For more information, please contact epubs@rcsi.ie.

Attribution-Non-Commercial-ShareAlike 1.0

You are free:

- to copy, distribute, display, and perform the work.
- to make derivative works.

Under the following conditions:

- Attribution — You must give the original author credit.
- Non-Commercial — You may not use this work for commercial purposes.
- Share Alike — If you alter, transform, or build upon this work, you may distribute the resulting work only under a licence identical to this one.

For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the licence terms of this work. Any of these conditions can be waived if you get permission from the author.

Your fair use and other rights are in no way affected by the above.

This work is licenced under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-ShareAlike License. To view a copy of this licence, visit:

URL (human-readable summary):

- <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/1.0/>

URL (legal code):

- <http://creativecommons.org/worldwide/uk/translated-license>
-

Why the HADS is still important: Reply to Coyne & van Sonderen.

Running head: Why the HADS is important

Frank Doyle PhD, Theodore Cosco MSc, Ronán Conroy DSc

Corresponding author:

Frank Doyle

Division of Population Health Sciences

Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland

123 St Stephen's Green

Dublin 2

Ireland

Tel: +353 1 4022718

Fax: +353 1 4022329

Fdoyle4@rcsi.ie

Dear Editor,

In response to our review highlighting its heterogeneous structure ¹, Coyne and van Sonderen advocate the abandonment of the HADS ². While it is difficult to argue with any of the points they make regarding its deficiencies, there does remain at least one reason why researchers should not ditch the HADS just yet.

The HADS depression subscale seems to be a powerful predictor of one year morbidity and mortality ^{3,4}, and even 8-year mortality ⁵ in patients with acute coronary syndrome. This may appear initially unremarkable, given the well-established association between distress and cardiovascular prognosis ^{6,7}. However, other brief measures of depression or vital exhaustion failed to predict outcomes in these studies. This is also despite the fact that both the HADS anxiety and depression subscales may be better considered as a single dimension ^{1,8}. What this may mean is that there is something particularly important about the HADS depression subscale that is predictive of prognosis, irrespective of how it correlates with other negative emotion symptoms. We and others suspect that this is the symptom of anhedonia ^{5,9}. It is also possible that the item "I feel slowed down" could simultaneously provide a potent measure of symptoms of fatigue or cognitive decline ⁵.

Therefore, while we agree that the HADS does not have a justifiable role as a measuring scale for anxiety or depression, there remains underlying the scale an elusive and poorly-studied symptom dimension whose adverse impact on prognosis of coronary disease merits serious investigation. So the many thousands of HADS scores accumulated in research datasets may yet turn out to have a value, though this value may be one unsuspected by the advocates of the test.

1. Cosco TD, Doyle F, Ward M, McGee H. Latent structure of the Hospital Anxiety And Depression Scale: A 10-year systematic review. *J Psychosom Res* 2012; 72(3): 180-4.
2. Coyne J, van Sonderen E. No further research needed: Abandoning the Hospital and Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS). *J Psychosom Res* 2012; 72(3): 173-4.
3. Doyle F, Conroy R, McGee H, Delaney M. Depressive symptoms in persons with acute coronary syndrome: specific symptom scales and prognosis. *J Psychosom Res* 2010; 68(2): 121-30.
4. Doyle F, McGee HM, De La Harpe D, Shelley E, Conroy RM. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale depression subscale, but not the Beck Depression Inventory-Fast Scale, identifies patients with acute coronary syndrome at elevated risk of 1-year mortality. *J Psychosom Res* 2006; 60(5): 461-7.
5. Doyle F, McGee H, Conroy R. Anhedonia and feeling slowed, but not other depressive or anxiety symptoms, predict 8-year mortality in persons with acute coronary syndrome. manuscript submitted for publication.
6. Roest AM, Martens EJ, de Jonge P, Denollet J. Anxiety and risk of incident coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010; 56(1): 38-46.
7. Meijer A, Conradi HJ, Bos EH, Thombs BD, van Melle JP, de Jonge P. Prognostic association of depression following myocardial infarction with mortality and cardiovascular events: a meta-analysis of 25 years of research. *Gen Hosp Psychiat* 2011; 33(3): 203-16.

8. Cosco TD, Doyle F, Watson R, Ward M, McGee H. Mokken scaling analysis of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale in individuals with cardiovascular disease. *Gen Hosp Psychiat* 2012; 34(2): 167-72.
9. Davidson KW, Burg MM, Kronish IM, Shimbo D, Dettenborn L, Mehran R, et al. Association of anhedonia with recurrent major adverse cardiac events and mortality 1 year after acute coronary syndrome. *Arch Gen Psychiat* 2010; 67(5): 480-8.