

1-7-2012

The revolving door between hospital and community: extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in Dublin.

Liam Burke

Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland

Hilary Humphreys

Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland

Deirdre Fitzgerald-Hughes

Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, dfitzgeraldhughes@rcsi.ie

Citation

Burke L, Fitzgerald-Hughes D, Humphreys H. The revolving door between hospital and community: extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in Dublin. *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 2012;81(3):192-8.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Clinical Microbiology at e-publications@RCSI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Clinical Microbiology Articles by an authorized administrator of e-publications@RCSI. For more information, please contact epubs@rcsi.ie.

Attribution-Non-Commercial-ShareAlike 1.0

You are free:

- to copy, distribute, display, and perform the work.
- to make derivative works.

Under the following conditions:

- Attribution — You must give the original author credit.
- Non-Commercial — You may not use this work for commercial purposes.
- Share Alike — If you alter, transform, or build upon this work, you may distribute the resulting work only under a licence identical to this one.

For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the licence terms of this work. Any of these conditions can be waived if you get permission from the author.

Your fair use and other rights are in no way affected by the above.

This work is licenced under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-ShareAlike License. To view a copy of this licence, visit:

URL (human-readable summary):

- <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/1.0/>

URL (legal code):

- <http://creativecommons.org/worldwide/uk/translated-license>
-

1 **The revolving door between hospital and community. ESBL-producing**
2 ***Escherichia coli* in Dublin**

3

4 Running title: ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* in Dublin

5

6 Liam Burke^{a#}, Deirdre Fitzgerald-Hughes^a and Hilary Humphreys^{a, b}

7 ^aDepartment of Clinical Microbiology, RCSI Education and Research Centre, Beaumont
8 Hospital, Dublin 9, Ireland.

9 ^bDepartment of Microbiology, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin 9, Ireland.

10 #Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Clinical Microbiology, RCSI
11 Education and Research Centre, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin 9, Ireland. Telephone:
12 +35318093728. Mobile: +353860563094. Fax: +35318093709. Email:
13 liamburke@rcsi.ie.

14

15 Work was performed at: Department of Clinical Microbiology, RCSI Education and
16 Research Centre, Beaumont Hospital, Dublin 9, Ireland.

17

18

19

20 **Summary**

21 **Background**

22 *Escherichia coli* that produce extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) are an
23 increasing cause of healthcare-associated infection and community healthcare facilities
24 may be a reservoir for important epidemic clones.

25 **Aim**

26 To retrospectively characterise and investigate the epidemiology of ESBL-producing *E.*
27 *coli* collected in a Dublin hospital, during 2009 and 2010, and to investigate the
28 dissemination of specific clones within hospital and community healthcare facilities.

29 **Methods**

30 Pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) was used to determine the genetic relatedness
31 of 100 ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates. Phylogenetic groups were determined and the
32 O25b-ST131 clone identified in the collection. The genetic data was correlated with
33 antimicrobial susceptibility, clinical and demographic data to explore the epidemiology of
34 specific clones.

35 **Findings**

36 Phylogenetic groups B2 (62%) and D (18%) were the most common and were
37 associated with non-urinary isolates ($P < 0.0001$ by Fisher's exact test). Pulsed-field gel
38 electrophoresis (PFGE) revealed twelve clusters (≥ 80 % similarity), the largest of which
39 clustered with the epidemic UK strain A. Residents of long-term care facilities (LTCFs)

40 in the community exclusively carried the O25b-ST131 clone and phylogenetic groups
41 B2 and D.

42 **Conclusion**

43 *E. coli* O25b-ST131 is largely responsible for ESBL-producing *E. coli* in LTCFs in
44 Dublin. The distribution of ESBL-producing *E. coli* in our hospital and community
45 highlights a 'revolving door' through which these resistant bacteria spread and
46 disseminate.

47 **Key words:** Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase, *Escherichia coli*, O25b-ST131 clone

48

49

50 **Introduction**

51 Since 2000, strains of *Escherichia coli* are the dominant extended-spectrum beta-
52 lactamase (ESBL) producers worldwide, with CTX-M-15 the most widely disseminated
53 of the ESBL enzymes.¹⁻² According to the 2009 European Antibiotic Resistance
54 Surveillance Network (EARS-Net) report, the prevalence of invasive *E. coli* resistant to
55 third generation cephalosporins in Europe has increased from 1.7% in 2002 to 8% in
56 2009 ($P<0.001$) in 22 countries.³ ESBL-producers are increasingly prevalent in non-ICU
57 settings which may be due to increased admission of patients with urinary tract or
58 bloodstream infections from nursing homes and other community healthcare facilities.⁴⁻⁵
59 Risk factors for infection with an ESBL-producer includes recurring urinary tract

60 infections (UTI) and underlying renal pathology, old age, nursing home residence and
61 recent exposure to β -lactams or fluoroquinolones.⁶

62 Transmission of ESBL genes is facilitated by their frequent location on conjugative
63 multiresistance plasmids and the association of these plasmids with local and epidemic
64 clones of Enterobacteriaceae. The most notable of these is *E. coli* O25b-ST131, a
65 fluoroquinolone-resistant strain of the B2 phylogenetic group, associated with the global
66 dissemination of CTX-M-15.⁷⁻⁸ This pandemic clone comprises five closely related
67 clusters in the United Kingdom (UK strains A-E), UK strain A is epidemic in the UK and
68 is widespread among Irish hospitals and Belfast nursing homes.⁹⁻¹¹ Nursing homes may
69 be reservoirs of these clones in the Republic of Ireland. Similarly, the clinical
70 characteristics of patients with ESBL-producing *E. coli* (ESBL-EC) in Ireland have not
71 been extensively investigated.

72 We characterised 100 ESBL-EC collected in a Dublin hospital, during 2009 and 2010, to
73 determine their genetic relatedness and to investigate the dissemination of specific
74 clones within hospital and community healthcare facilities. Clinical data, patient
75 demographic data and antimicrobial susceptibility data relating to the isolates were
76 analyzed to investigate the epidemiology of ESBL-EC.

77

78 **Materials and methods**

79 **Study setting**

80 Beaumont Hospital is a 700-bed tertiary referral hospital in Dublin, Ireland providing
81 emergency and acute care to the local community of approximately 300 000 people.
82 The microbiology laboratory receives specimens from the hospital and community
83 healthcare facilities including general practitioners (GPs) and nursing homes.

84

85 **Bacterial strains**

86 The American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) strains *E. coli* ATCC 25922, *E. coli*
87 ATCC 35218 and *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 700603 are ESBL-negative, ESBL-positive and
88 *bla*_{SHV} ESBL-producing controls respectively. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Braenderup
89 H9812 was a molecular weight reference strain for PFGE. The National Collection of
90 Type Cultures (NCTC) strain *E. coli* NC13441 (UK strain A) was a comparison strain for
91 PFGE.¹² One hundred ESBL-EC clinical isolates recovered from samples received by
92 the diagnostic microbiology laboratory between January 2009 and December 2010 were
93 studied. These were selected from all *E. coli* isolates (468) identified as ESBL-
94 producers within the time period and selection was based on prioritization of serious
95 infections (e.g. bloodstream isolates) with an even temporal distribution of isolates. One
96 representative isolate per patient was selected. Isolates were confirmed as ESBL-
97 producers phenotypically using *Brilliance*[™] ESBL Agar (Oxoid Ltd., Cambridge, UK).

98

99 **Determination of the *E. coli* phylogenetic group and detection of *E. coli* O25b-** 100 **ST131 clone**

101 *E. coli* clinical isolates and reference strains were assigned to phylogenetic groups A,
102 B1, B2 or D using the triplex PCR method of Clermont *et al.*¹³ Strains not yielding PCR
103 products were scored as unassigned.¹⁴ An allele-specific PCR of the *pabB* gene was
104 used to identify clone O25b-ST131 among B2 phylogenetic group members as
105 previously described.¹⁵

106

107 **Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) of *E. coli* clinical isolates**

108 *Xba* I-digested genomic DNA from *E. coli* isolates and UK strain A were subjected to
109 PFGE according to the PulseNet standardized laboratory protocol for *E. coli*¹⁶
110 Electrophoresis was performed in a 1% (w/v) SeaKem agarose gel with 0.5 X Tris-
111 Borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer for 19h in a CHEF-DR III apparatus (Bio-Rad), (initial switch
112 time 2.2 s, final switch time 54.2 s, 6 V, included angle of 120°, 14°C). Where DNA
113 degradation occurred, electrophoresis was repeated with thiourea (50 µM) in the
114 running buffer.¹⁷ Macrorestriction patterns were analysed using GelCompar II®
115 software (Ver. 6.5, Applied Maths NV, Saint-Martens-Latem, Belgium). Variability was
116 determined by the Dice coefficient using a tolerance of 1%. Strains were clustered
117 according to the unweighted pair group average method. Clonal groups were assigned
118 based on a similarity of ≥80% (≤6 band difference in restriction profile) as previously
119 described.¹⁸ Isolates indistinguishable by PFGE were assigned the same alpha-
120 numerical PFGE type.

121

122 **Antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

123 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was carried out in the diagnostic microbiology
124 laboratory of Beaumont Hospital using the BD Phoenix™ Automated Microbiology
125 System and results were interpreted according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards
126 Institute (CLSI) guidelines.¹⁹

127

128 **Data collection**

129 Patient demographic data, clinical data and antimicrobial susceptibility data for ESBL-
130 EC isolates were obtained by retrospective analysis of computerized hospital medical
131 records. Clinical details, including patient outcome, were unavailable for community
132 patients with ESBL-EC.

133

134 **Statistical analysis**

135 Numerical data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or standard error of
136 the mean (SEM) and inter-quartile range. Fisher's exact test was used to compare
137 categorical data. Tests were performed using Prism 4 for Windows and were two-tailed.

138

139 **Results**

140 **Clinical features and patient demographics**

141 Amongst 633 isolates identified as ESBL-producers during the study period 468 were *E.*
142 *coli*, 57 were *Klebsiella spp.* and 18 were other *Enterobacteriaceae* (eg, *Pseudomonas*
143 *spp.*, *Proteus spp.*, *Morganella morganii*). Patient demographic and clinical
144 characteristics for 100 ESBL-EC isolates studied are outlined in Table I. Almost two
145 thirds (65%) of patients were inpatients at the time of isolation of ESBL-EC. A further 22
146 patient samples were from community healthcare facilities including nursing homes
147 (NH; 9 %) and GPs (13%). Urinary isolates made up the majority of ESBL-EC (68%),
148 with 38/68 (56%) from inpatients. Isolates cultured from blood (8), fluid (5), surgical
149 theatre specimens (2), catheter/cannula tips (2) and nine of 12 respiratory isolates were
150 from hospital inpatients. All GP and Emergency Department (ED) samples and 7/9 NH
151 samples were urinary, with the remainder being wound swabs. Outpatient samples were
152 urinary (4) and respiratory (3). Among inpatients, median length of stay (LOS) was 23d
153 and in-hospital mortality was 20%. Two thirds of inpatients were on medical wards, the
154 most common specialities being geriatric medicine (14%), respiratory medicine (11%),
155 nephrology (9%), gastroenterology (8%) and rheumatology (8%).

156 All isolates were multidrug-resistant (MDR) according to the definition of the European
157 Centre for Disease Control and Prevention.²⁰ Although all isolates were susceptible to
158 meropenem, there was almost complete resistance to several beta-lactam
159 antimicrobials including amoxicillin (100%), aztreonam (99%), cefuroxime (100%),
160 cefazolin (100%), cefotaxime (97%), ceftazidime (99%) and cephalothin (100%). A
161 relatively high resistance rate to other commonly used Gram-negative antimicrobials
162 was evident, with the majority of isolates co-resistant to ciprofloxacin (73%), co-
163 trimoxazole (78%) and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (72%). Overall, 64% of clinical

164 isolates showed reduced susceptibility to 3 or more non- β -lactam/combination
165 antimicrobials.

166

167 **Genetic relatedness of isolates**

168 The relatedness of *E. coli* clinical isolates is represented in Figure 1. Phylogenetic
169 groups were successfully assigned to 97% of *E. coli* clinical isolates. The most
170 prevalent phylogenetic groups were B2 (62%) and D (18%). The other isolates
171 comprised group A (10%) and group B1 (7%), most of which were recovered from urine
172 samples (13/17; 76.5%). A significant association was found between group B2 and D
173 isolates and non-urinary types with 26/32 isolates (81%) belonging to these groups
174 versus four non-urinary isolates involving group A or B1 isolates ($P<0.0001$, Fisher's
175 exact test). Isolates responsible for bloodstream infections belonged to phylogenetic
176 groups B2 or D. Of the 62 B2 phylogenetic group isolates, 54 (87%) belonged to the
177 O25b-ST131 epidemic clone.

178 PFGE analysis of 100 isolates revealed 87 distinct types of which 64 isolates were
179 clonally related and comprised 12 clusters (A-L) based on a similarity of $\geq 80\%$. Cluster
180 A was the largest group (n=34), all belonging to the O25b-ST131 epidemic clone and
181 clustering with the epidemic UK strain A. Clusters B, C and D (n=5, 6 and 2
182 respectively) also belonged to the O25b-ST131 clone but were $<80\%$ similar to UK
183 strain A. Members of the remaining clusters also shared the same phylogenetic
184 backgrounds, with clusters E, F and J belonging to group B2; clusters G, H and I
185 belonging to group D and clusters K and L belonging to groups A and B1.

186

187 **Epidemiology of clonal groups** The epidemiological and antimicrobial resistance
188 patterns of the clonal groups are summarised in Table II. Cluster A isolates
189 demonstrated distinctive resistance profiles to ciprofloxacin, trimethoprim, amoxicillin-
190 clavulanic acid and co-trimoxazole. Cluster G isolates displayed resistance to
191 gentamicin, not evident in other clusters. The clusters with the most resistance to
192 common Gram-negative antimicrobials were B2 phylogenetic group clusters J (resistant
193 to 67% of six antimicrobials) and A (63%). Cluster L, containing group B1 isolates had
194 the lowest proportion of co-resistances (25%). O25b-ST131 isolates comprised 21/27
195 (78%) of piperacillin-tazobactam-resistant isolates and 10/11 (91%) of amikacin-
196 resistant isolates.

197 All twenty patients that normally resided in LTCFs/NH in the community, eleven of which
198 were hospitalised during the study period, carried clonal isolates. Seventeen were
199 O25b-ST131 (including twelve cluster A isolates), and the remainder were phylogenetic
200 groups B2 (1) and D (2). Clonal ESBL-EC from clusters A (3), C (2) and I (1) were
201 isolated from six of eleven hospitalised NH residents on the day of hospital admission.
202 Members of six clonal groups were isolated from both NH residents and hospital
203 inpatients during the study period (Table II). Isolates belonging to cluster A were
204 detected in residents of six long-term care facilities over 23 months and from 17 hospital
205 wards. Cluster A isolates were also recovered from six different specimen sources and
206 from all patient categories. The pandemic O25b-ST131 clone was less prevalent in
207 patients that normally resided at home than in NH residents (37/80; 46% versus 17/20;

208 85%) $P = 0.0022$. Also all patients with sporadic ESBL-EC strains normally resided at
209 home. The O25b-ST131 clone accounted for 33/65 (51%) of ESBL-EC recovered from
210 hospital inpatients. Amongst GP urine samples 54% were positive for O25b-ST131 and
211 belonged to clusters A(2), B(2) and D(1).

212

213

214 **Discussion**

215 This study reports a molecular investigation into ESBL-producing *E. coli* and their
216 associated patient characteristics. *E. coli* accounted for 86% of ESBL-positive
217 Enterobacteriaceae isolated during 2009 and 2010 in our hospital. Although
218 bloodstream and respiratory isolates were prioritized to include those causing the most
219 severe infections, the majority of isolates were of urinary origin, reflecting the increase
220 in ESBL-producing *E. coli* UTI seen worldwide.⁶ Over two thirds of patients carrying
221 ESBL-EC were over 65 years old and almost three quarters were resident in a
222 healthcare facility at the time of sample collection, either in hospital (65%) or in a
223 nursing home (9%). The median length of stay for inpatients was relatively high (23d)
224 matching the median LOS found for patients in a recent study from a Belgian hospital of
225 similar size.²¹ Extended hospital stay is a known risk factor for hospital-onset ESBL-
226 producer infection.⁶

227 The majority of study isolates were non-susceptible to β -lactam antimicrobials but all
228 were susceptible to meropenem. Frequent non-susceptibility to other antimicrobial

229 classes was observed with 64% of isolates non-susceptible to at least three of six non-
230 β -lactam or β -lactam-inhibitor combination antimicrobials. The high level of resistance to
231 β -lactam-inhibitor combination antimicrobials amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and piperacillin-
232 tazobactam, suggests concomitant production of other beta-lactamase enzymes such
233 as those belonging to Ambler classes A (e.g penicillins TEM-30 and SHV-10), C (e.g.
234 AmpC) or D (OXA enzymes).²²⁻²³ The variability in antimicrobial resistance observed
235 between isolates of the same clonal group may be explained by differences in the
236 content and structure of mobile genetic elements, such as resistance plasmids and
237 integrons.

238 A previous Irish study of ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates from 25 different
239 laboratories over an 11 year period highlighted the high prevalence of resistance to
240 ciprofloxacin and we found similar prevalence rates amongst *E. coli* (73 %).⁹ Resistance
241 to gentamicin was less prevalent at 24% of ESBL-EC (24/100) compared to 38%
242 (176/462) of ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*, 85% of which were *E. coli*. The
243 continuing trend towards multidrug resistance that limits empirical therapy choices for
244 Gram-negative infections is evident. Excluding meropenem, only amikacin and
245 nitrofurantoin were effective antimicrobials in terms of in-vitro susceptibility (97% and
246 90% of isolates).

247 Most isolates belonged to the B2 (62%) and D (18%) phylogenetic groups which contain
248 virulent extra-intestinal strains.²⁴ In the present study, strains belonging to these groups
249 were significantly associated with non-urinary isolates and all bloodstream isolates
250 belonged to these groups. Phylogenetic groups A and B1, which are associated with

251 human and animal commensal strains, were represented by only 17 isolates, the
252 majority being from urine samples (13/17, 76%).

253 The pandemic O25b-ST131 clone was predominant in the collection, represented by
254 over half of all isolates and the majority of B2 phylogenetic group isolates (87%). This
255 virulent uropathogenic strain is described in all five continents and is commonly co-
256 resistant to fluoroquinolones.²⁵ In our collection, O25b-ST131 clone members were
257 represented by five clusters (A-D and J), the largest containing 34 isolates and
258 clustering with epidemic UK strain A. The distinctive antimicrobial resistance profile of
259 UK strain A was also observed in cluster A, i.e. resistance including ciprofloxacin and
260 trimethoprim and marked susceptibility to gentamicin.¹² The widespread dissemination
261 of this strain is evidenced by its isolation from all patient categories.

262 A nationwide study of 69 Irish LTCFs in June 2010 revealed a prevalence of healthcare
263 associated infection (HCAI) of 3.7% among 4170 patients. Over one third (35.8%) of
264 antibiotic prescriptions were intended for prophylaxis of UTI; however UTI remained the
265 most common HCAI (40%). It is possible that the practice of prophylactic prescription for
266 UTI may have contributed to the success of the MDR ESBL-EC strains in Irish LTCFs,
267 especially the uropathogenic O25b-ST131 clone.²⁶ In the present study all twenty
268 patients normally residing in LTCFs had clonal ESBL isolates belonging to phylogenetic
269 groups B2 and D and 17/20 were O25b-ST131. This suggests that *E. coli* O25b-ST131
270 and some other virulent clones may be largely responsible for ESBL-EC
271 infections/carriage in LTCFs. In contrast patients with sporadic strains all normally
272 resided in their home, which suggests that a greater variety of ESBL-EC strains

273 circulate in the community compared to LTCFs. However the high proportion of O25b-
274 ST131 amongst ESBL-EC positive inpatients (51%) and GP urine samples (54%)
275 suggests this clone is dominant in hospital and community settings.

276 Although difficult to identify definitive transmission events based on the recovery of
277 indistinguishable PFGE types alone, we can speculate that ESBL-EC strains may have
278 disseminated throughout the hospital and from LTCFs in the community to the hospital.
279 For example, three isolates from cluster A2 (0026-0028) were isolated within three days
280 of each other from patients on three geographically separate wards. Two of these
281 patients originated from the same nursing home and an ESBL-EC was isolated from
282 one of these patients upon hospitalization. The recovery of identical strains (F1 (0041
283 and 0042) may indicate cross infection with the postulated route of spread from an ED
284 patient to a surgery patient. However certain clonal strains including UK strain A may be
285 endemic in the hospital and this may account for their recovery from multiple hospital
286 patients over extended time periods. For example PFGE type A2 isolates were
287 recovered from five geriatric patients, all from different hospital wards over 18 months.
288 Although the origin of the strains is not clear, it is evident that both the hospital and
289 LTCFs in the community are now a reservoir for many of the same ESBL-EC clones.

290 There are limitations to the study. Due to time and resource constraints consecutive
291 isolates were not studied. Therefore the isolate collection represents just over one fifth
292 of ESBL-EC isolates recovered during the study period. Bloodstream and respiratory
293 isolates were prioritised and therefore are over-represented in this study. This may have
294 introduced bias to certain clinical characteristics. Limited reliable data on previous

295 hospital contacts for this patient cohort precluded the definition of infections as
296 healthcare-associated or community-associated.

297 This study describes the epidemiology and molecular characteristics of ESBL-EC
298 clones in an Irish hospital. Similar to the pattern observed in other European countries
299 and world-wide, our study highlights the importance of the epidemic O25b-ST131 clone
300 as the major category of ESBL-producing *E. coli* in our hospital and local community.^{25,}

301 ²⁷ The dissemination of clones throughout the hospital and between several LTCFs in
302 the local community and the hospital is supported by the identification of highly similar
303 or identical PFGE types among hospital and NH patients and the predominance of the
304 O25b-ST131 clone in both settings. This indicates the potential for continuous
305 recirculation of this clone between healthcare facilities. This 'revolving door' mechanism
306 for the spread of ESBL-EC in our catchment area highlights the challenges faced in
307 preventing and controlling their spread.

308

309 **Acknowledgements**

310 The authors thank Joan Moore, Margaret Morris-Downes, Ian O'Neill and the staff of
311 Beaumont Hospital Microbiology Department for collecting the study isolates and for
312 compiling and helping to interpret the database of medical records. We are also grateful
313 to Dr. Neil Woodford (HPA, Colindale, UK) for providing bacterial strains and to Dr.
314 Edmund Smyth for review of this manuscript.

315 **Funding**

316 This work was funded by the Health Research Board of Ireland, Grant No.
317 PHD/2007/11.

318

319 **References**

- 320 1. Livermore, D. M., Canton, R., Gniadkowski, M. *et al.* CTX-M: changing the face
321 of ESBLs in Europe. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2007; **59**(2): 165-74
- 322 2. Canton, R., Coque, T. M. The CTX-M beta-lactamase pandemic. *Curr Opin*
323 *Microbiol* 2006; **9**(5): 466-75
- 324 3. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Antimicrobial resistance
325 surveillance in Europe 2009. Annual Report of the European Antimicrobial
326 Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net). Stockholm: *ECDC*; 2009.
327 10.2900/35994
- 328 4. Ben-Ami, R., Schwaber, M. J., Navon-Venezia, S. *et al.* Influx of extended-
329 spectrum beta-lactamase-producing enterobacteriaceae into the hospital. *Clin*
330 *Infect Dis* 2006; **42**(7): 925-34
- 331 5. Pitout, J. D., Hanson, N. D., Church, D. L., Laupland, K. B. Population-based
332 laboratory surveillance for Escherichia coli-producing extended-spectrum beta-
333 lactamases: importance of community isolates with blaCTX-M genes. *Clin Infect*
334 *Dis* 2004; **38**(12): 1736-41
- 335 6. Pitout, J. D., Laupland, K. B. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing
336 Enterobacteriaceae: an emerging public-health concern. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2008;
337 **8**(3): 159-66

- 338 7. Coque, T. M., Baquero, F., Canton, R. Increasing prevalence of ESBL-producing
339 Enterobacteriaceae in Europe. *Euro Surveill* 2008; **13**(47):
- 340 8. Pitout, J. D., Church, D. L., Gregson, D. B. *et al.* Molecular epidemiology of CTX-
341 M-producing *Escherichia coli* in the Calgary Health Region: emergence of CTX-
342 M-15-producing isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007; **51**(4): 1281-6
- 343 9. Morris, D., Boyle, F., Buckley, V. *et al.* CTX-M enzymes are the predominant
344 extended-spectrum beta-lactamases produced by Enterobacteriaceae in Ireland.
345 *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; **64**(4): 864-6
- 346 10. Rooney, P. J., O'Leary, M. C., Loughrey, A. C. *et al.* Nursing homes as a
347 reservoir of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing ciprofloxacin-
348 resistant *Escherichia coli*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; **64**(3): 635-41
- 349 11. Lau, S. H., Kaufmann, M. E., Livermore, D. M. *et al.* UK epidemic *Escherichia coli*
350 strains A-E, with CTX-M-15 beta-lactamase, all belong to the international
351 O25:H4-ST131 clone. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; **62**(6): 1241-4
- 352 12. Woodford, N., Ward, M. E., Kaufmann, M. E. *et al.* Community and hospital
353 spread of *Escherichia coli* producing CTX-M extended-spectrum beta-lactamases
354 in the UK. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2004; **54**(4): 735-43
- 355 13. Clermont, O., Bonacorsi, S., Bingen, E. Rapid and simple determination of the
356 *Escherichia coli* phylogenetic group. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2000; **66**(10): 4555-8
- 357 14. Gordon, D. M., Clermont, O., Tolley, H., Denamur, E. Assigning *Escherichia coli*
358 strains to phylogenetic groups: multi-locus sequence typing versus the PCR
359 triplex method. *Environ Microbiol* 2008; **10**(10): 2484-96

- 360 15. Clermont, O., Dhanji, H., Upton, M. *et al.* Rapid detection of the O25b-ST131
361 clone of *Escherichia coli* encompassing the CTX-M-15-producing strains. *J*
362 *Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; **64**(2): 274-7
- 363 16. Ribot, E. M., Fair, M. A., Gautom, R. *et al.* Standardization of pulsed-field gel
364 electrophoresis protocols for the subtyping of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7,
365 *Salmonella*, and *Shigella* for PulseNet. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 2006; **3**(1): 59-67
- 366 17. Silbert, S., Boyken, L., Hollis, R. J., Pfaller, M. A. Improving typeability of multiple
367 bacterial species using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and thiourea. *Diagn*
368 *Microbiol Infect Dis* 2003; **47**(4): 619-21
- 369 18. Tenover, F. C., Arbeit, R. D., Goering, R. V. *et al.* Interpreting chromosomal DNA
370 restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: criteria for
371 bacterial strain typing. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995; **33**(9): 2233-9
- 372 19. CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 20th
373 informational supplement. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards
374 Institute; 2010.
- 375 20. Magiorakos, A. P., Srinivasan, A., Carey, R. B. *et al.* Multidrug-resistant,
376 extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert
377 proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol*
378 *Infect* 2011:
- 379 21. Schoevaerds, D., Bogaerts, P., Grimmelprez, A. *et al.* Clinical profiles of patients
380 colonized or infected with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing
381 *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates: a 20 month retrospective study at a Belgian
382 University Hospital. *BMC Infect Dis* 2011; **11**: 12

- 383 22. Bush, K., Jacoby, G. A. Updated functional classification of beta-lactamases.
384 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010; **54**(3): 969-76
- 385 23. Ambler, R. P. The structure of beta-lactamases. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol*
386 *Sci* 1980; **289**(1036): 321-31
- 387 24. Picard, B., Garcia, J. S., Gouriou, S. *et al.* The link between phylogeny and
388 virulence in *Escherichia coli* extraintestinal infection. *Infect Immun* 1999; **67**(2):
389 546-53
- 390 25. Rogers, B. A., Sidjabat, H. E., Paterson, D. L. *Escherichia coli* O25b-ST131: a
391 pandemic, multiresistant, community-associated strain. *J Antimicrob Chemother*
392 2011; **66**(1): 1-14
- 393 26. Cotter, M., Donlon, S., Roche, F., Byrne, H., Fitzpatrick, F. Healthcare-associated
394 infection in Irish long-term care facilities: results from the First National
395 Prevalence Study. *J Hosp Infect* 2012; **80**(3): 212-6
- 396 27. Peirano, G., Pitout, J. D. Molecular epidemiology of *Escherichia coli* producing
397 CTX-M beta-lactamases: the worldwide emergence of clone ST131 O25:H4. *Int J*
398 *Antimicrob Agents* 2010; **35**(4): 316-21

399

400

401

402

403

404

405 **Table I.** Demographic and clinical data of 100 patients with ESBL-producing *E. coli*.

Characteristic	Value
<i>Patient demographics</i>	
Male: female	37:63
Mean age, $y \pm SD$ [SEM] (range)	67 ± 21 [2](11-100)
Residents of nursing homes or long term care facilities	20
<i>Patient types</i>	
Hospital inpatients	65
Emergency Department patients	6
Outpatients	7
GP referral patients	13
Nursing home patients	9
<i>Length of stay</i> [^]	
Mean LOS $d \pm SD$ (SEM)	97.5 ± 232 (28.8)
Range d	0.5-1434
Inter-quartile range d	8-49
Median LOS d	23
<i>Time from admission to collection of ESBL-EC</i> [^]	
Mean time $d \pm SD$ (SEM)	63.5 ± 178.5 (22.3)
<7d n (%)	32(49)
≤30d n (%)	50(77)
>30d n (%)	15(23)
<i>Clinical specimen type</i>	
Blood	8
Urine	68
Respiratory	12
Wound Swab	3
Fluid	5
Others	4
<i>Inpatient outcome</i> [^]	
Discharged home n (%)	33(51)
Discharged to nursing home n (%)	10(15)
Transferred to other hospital n (%)	6(9)
Deceased n (%)	13(20)
Other n (%)	3(5)

406 [^] = Inpatients only (65/100 patients), LOS = length of stay

407 **Table II.** Phenotypic and epidemiological characteristics of clonal groups.

PFGE cluster (No. of isolates)	Antimicrobial resistance ^a			Antimicrobial susceptibility ^b			Percentage of co-resistance ^c	Patient categories (No. of isolates) ^d	NH residents ^e
A (34)	CIP SXT	TMP	AMC	GEN			63	E(1) GP(2) I(23) O(2) NH(6)	12
B (5)	CIP			TZP AMK			43	GP(2) I(3)	1
C (6)	CIP			AMK			42	I(4) O(1) NH(1)	3
D (2)	-			TZP AMK			33	GP(1) I(1)	0
E (2)	TMP SXT			CIP GEN AMK			33	E(1) NH(1)	1
F (2)	TMP AMC SXT			CIP GEN AMK TZP			33	E(1) I(1)	0
G (3)	GEN TMP SXT			CIP TZP AMK			39	I(3)	1
H (2)	-			TZP AMK			33	I(1) O(1)	0
I (2)	TMP AMC SXT			TZP AMK			50	I(2)	1
J (2)	TMP SXT	TZP	AMC	GEN			67	I(1) NH(1)	1
K (2)	CIP SXT			GEN AMK			50	I(1) O(1)	0
L (2)	-			GEN TZP AMK			25	I(2)	0

408 ^a = >90% of strains tested were resistant to the listed antibiotics.

409 ^b = 100% of strains tested were susceptible

410 ^{a, b} Antimicrobials: AMC; amoxicillin/clavulanate, AMK; amikacin, CIP; ciprofloxacin,
 411 GEN; gentamicin, SXT; trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, TZP; piperacillin/tazobactam,
 412 TMP; trimethoprim (urinary isolates only).

413 ^c Percentage of total antimicrobial susceptibility tests to the 6 antimicrobials listed above
 414 (excluding trimethoprim) with resistant result.

415 ^d E; emergency department patients, GP; GP patients, I; inpatients, O; outpatients, NH;
 416 nursing home/long term care facility patients.

417 ^e Patients normally residing in nursing homes/long-term care facilities.

418



420 **Figure 1.** Dendrogram showing PFGE profile types (A-L) and *E. coli* phylogenetic
421 groups (PG). Pairwise cluster analysis was performed using the Dice coefficient with an
422 optimisation of 1% and a band matching tolerance of 1%. Columns L to R: Isolate =
423 clinical isolate number; Source = source of clinical specimen; Patient type: I = inpatient,
424 O = outpatient, E = emergency department patient, NH = nursing home patient, GP =
425 GP patient; PG = phylogenetic group; PFGE type: clusters A to L were identified based
426 on a similarity of $\geq 80\%$ with distinguishable members numbered consecutively. Isolates
427 that were indistinguishable by PFGE were given the same PFGE type code; Date
428 collected = date of first isolation of *E. coli*; Speciality = medical speciality, (S) denotes
429 surgical; * = O25b-ST131 positive by PCR.

430

431 **Conflict of interest statement**

432 HH has recent research collaborations with Steris Corporation, 3M, Inov8 Science,
433 Pfizer & Cepheid. He has also recently received lecture & other fees from 3M, Novartis,
434 Astra Zeneca and Astellas.

435

436